

SHORT COMMUNICATION

A CURIOUS RENEWAL PROCESS AVERAGE*

William S. JEWELL

Department of Industrial Engineering and Operations Research, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94700, U.S.A.

Received 7 July 1978

The lifetimes of a renewal process observed during a fixed interval $(0, t]$ are smaller, on the average, than the process mean lifetime; it is shown that the mean observed lifetime has a particularly simple form.

Renewal processes MTBF

Consider an ordinary renewal process consisting of nonnegative and independent random variables $\{X_k, k \geq 1\}$ with common distribution F , and density f . The random number of renewals in a fixed interval $(0, t]$, $N(t)$, has the distribution

$$P_n(t) = \mathbf{P}\{N(t) = n\} = F^{n*}(t) - F^{(n+1)*}(t) \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Where $F^{n*}(t)$ is the n -fold convolution of F with itself. Let $M(t) = \mathbf{E}N(t)$. It is known that $\mathbf{E}\{S_{N(t)+1}\} = \mathbf{E}\{X\} \cdot [M(t) + 1]$. However, simple results for $S_{N(t)}$, the sum of completed intervals in $(0, t]$, do not seem to be available; for example we have

$$\mathbf{E}\{S_{N(t)} | N(t) > 0\} = \frac{\int_0^t x[1 - F(t-x)] dM(x)}{F(t)}.$$

The mean value of such an interval has the following form which is unexpectedly simple—this was discovered while investigating biases in renewal testing [1].

Theorem. *We have*

$$\mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{S_{N(t)}}{N(t)} \mid N(t) > 0\right\} = \mathbf{E}\{X_1 | X_1 \leq t\} = \frac{\int_0^t x dF(x)}{F(t)} = t - \int_0^t \left[\frac{F(x)}{F(t)}\right] dx.$$

* This research was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFSC), USAF, under Grant AFOSR-77-3179 with the University of California.

Proof. We have

$$\mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{S_{N(t)}}{N(t)} \mid N(t) > 0\right\} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \int_0^t [y f^{n*}(y)] [1 - F(t-y)] dy}{F(t)}.$$

If $\tilde{f}(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} dF(t)$ is the transform of f , then the integral above is the convolution of $1 - F(t)$ and $tf^{n*}(t)$, which have transforms $[1 - \tilde{f}(s)]/s$ and

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} t f^{n*}(t) dt = -\frac{d}{ds} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} f^{n*}(t) dt = -\frac{d}{ds} [\tilde{f}(s)]^n = -n \tilde{f}'(s) [\tilde{f}(s)]^{n-1},$$

respectively. Therefore, the transform of the above sum is simply

$$\frac{(-\tilde{f}'(s))[1 - \tilde{f}(s)]}{s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} n [\tilde{f}(s)]^{n-1} = \frac{-\tilde{f}'(s)}{s},$$

which is recognized as the transform of $\int_0^t x dF(x)$. This leads to the desired result.

Remark. A simple proof which perhaps explains the theorem better was suggested by H. Gerber (University of Michigan) along the following lines: It is easily verified that, given $N(t) = n > 0$, X_1, X_1, \dots, X_n are *exchangeable random variables*. Therefore, given $N(t) = n > 0$, $\mathbf{E}\{S_n/n\} = \mathbf{E}\{X_1\}$; but, cases in which $n = 1, 2, \dots$ are exactly those cases in which $X_1 \leq t$.

The average completed interval is approximately $\frac{1}{2}t$ for small values of t , and increases monotonically to $\mathbf{E}\{X_1\}$, usually slowly. For example, if f is exponential with parameter λ , then

$$\mathbf{E}\{X_1 \mid X_1 \leq t\} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[\frac{1 - (1 + \lambda t) e^{-\lambda t}}{1 - e^{-\lambda t}} \right].$$

Unfortunately, the simplicity of the above result does not generalize to other functions of $W(t) = S_{N(t)}/N(t) (N(t) > 0)$. For example, the density of $W = w$ consists of different sums of convolutions over different intervals. In the exponential case, this density,

$$p(w) = \lambda \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{[t/w]} \frac{n^n (\lambda w)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}}{(e^{\lambda t} - 1)},$$

is a real wonder, being level over $(\frac{1}{2}t, t]$, linear over $(\frac{1}{3}t, \frac{1}{2}t]$, quadratic over $(\frac{1}{4}t, \frac{1}{3}t]$, etc.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank the referee for a correction.

Reference

- [1] W.S. Jewell, 'Reliability growth' as an artifact of renewal testing, ORC 78-9, Operations Research Center, University of California, Berkeley (1978).